1063

MENNAMBUN FOR: Deputy Birector (Support)

SUBJECT: Budget Problems Involving the Clandestine Services

1. In response to your oral request, we have listed below examples of recent happenings in commection with which we believe cooperation between the IB/P and the Office of the Comptroller could have been improved with a resulting benefit to the Agency. In each instance the incident or situation has had, or will have, a detrimental effect on the ability of this office to perform its functions. The submission of this list in mannery form may give the impression that a very great amount of difficulty has been experienced over an extended period of time. In fact, such has not been the case. For several years the Clandestine Services have been extremely cooperative and have been quite willing to essist us in the performance of our missions. As examples: From time to time Claudestine Services procedures have been changed to better fit them to our needs: individual offices have undertaken financial Management Improvement experiments or provided special information at our request; and over a period of years there have continued to be close day-to-day working relationships with the staffs of the Claudestine Services and of the area divisions. The following list, then, is considered by us to be in the nature of background information for a possible discussion with the DD/P and not as a criticism of offices or of specific individuals.

2. Policy and Procedural Coordination.

a. Programs and Operating Bulgets. After approximately six months of internal study, the Clandestine Services recently adopted a completely revised Operational Progress format. Among other things, the new procedure appears to provide for more than 100 classifications of information not now available in the Agency's accounting aretem. These classifications will be used in lieu of project lists or other breskdowns to obtain PRC approval. The new procedure has important remifications for the Comptroller's Office. As the primary record-keeping office of the Agency, we consider ourselves responsible for providing accounting-type information to the operating components of the Agency and to authoritieste to the PhC, the Director, and other policy-making officers such accounting information as may be provided by the operating components. Secondly, the Office of the Comptroller has certain responsibilities for the monitoring of project limitations: The revision throws into question our responsibilities and our shility to discharge these responsibilities. Lastly, over the past several years, the Operational Program has served as a multi-purpose document:

SUBJECT: Budget Problems Involving the Clandestine Services

In addition to its use as a progres, it also was used as an integral part of the Operating Budget. Thus, at the same time, it provided for the meeds of management within the Clandestine Bervices and for the budgetary requirements of the Comptroller's Office. In our Bureau of the Budget and Compressional documents, we followed the electifications used in the Progress to the maximum possible extent. As a result, the Progress also could be used effectively during hearings and briefings. Progressing procedures which are not coordinated with Agency budgetary requirements may result in substantial deplication of work on the part of budget officers, planning officers, and others.

Despite our three areas of interest noted above, so effort whatever was made to secure our views regarding the proposal. At shout the time the change was approved, two members of this office did receive as informal, unofficial briefing. However, so copy of the revised procedure has been made available to this office and we are advised that so copy can be made available to us without the personal approval of Mr. Bissoll.

b. Financial Ennemment Improvement. There are a series of requirements leviet upon us by law designed to improve financial management throughout the Coversment. Desemble as the DD/P is the largest and most complex organization in the Agency, it mecessarily is the component which will be most affected by the many direct and derivative changes which ought to be effected. In attempting to make changes affecting the DD/P, we find ourselves unable, from a practical, workable point of view, either to determine the views of the MM/P or to present our views to a point where internal MM/P decisions can be made. The Office of the DE/P has not resisted the implementation of FMI procedures, but neither has it endervored to participate in the development of the required procedures nor has it attempted to utilize the new end proposed procedures for its internal apparement perposes. This defeats the purpose of the entire program. We end up with a amplication of procedures, some of which are of real value either for internal management purposes or for the Agency's external presentations. The value of some FMI proposals may be open to question but we cannot, as an Agency, request relief from these legal requirements until they have been given a fair trial within this Agency. Thus DD/P cooperation is absolutely essential.

3. Working Coordination.

establishment of Budget and Fiscal positions in the area divisions some eight or nine years ego, the Comptroller's Office always bas

Approved For Release 2001/03-14: CIA-RDP80-01370R000200020026-0

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT: Budget Problems Involving the Classestine Services

25X1A

had free access to its badget and Finance Officers in the area divieigns. In mid-1959 a was issued which,
on its face, almost procluded the direct flow of information from
badget and Fiscal Officers to the Badget Division. Admittedly, this
has never been enforced to the letter, but nevertheless it has
caused delays and also has caused the Budget and Fiscal Officers to
be reluctant to discuss matters directly with the Comptroller's Office.

25X1Å

- b. Office Estimates: Bureau of the Budget Submission. At the time the Agency's PY 1960 Operating Budget was submitted to the Director for approval, Mr. Rissell, in a memorandum attached to the Agency Operating Budget, reserved the right to make changes in his office allocations; and Mr. Rissell in fact did make a series of revisions in his ellocations subsequent to the Director's approval of the Agency Operating Budget. Later, at the time our Budget Call was issued, Mr. Missell in turn issued what escented to a stop-work order to his divisions to permit him to study the Call. After the Call finally had been served to by him, he stipulated that no Office Estimates could be released to the Budget Division until he personally had reviewed and approved them. Mr. Missell's three actions caused several unfortunate results. As you already know, Mr. Bissell did not approve his Office Estimates until well into October, thus causing the Agency to be three weeks late in its submission to the Bureau of the Budget. Perhaps were important, the confusion and delays resulting from the July and August allocation and allowance adjustments (also see paragraph 3-d, below) and the "stop-work order" forced the divisions into submitting poorly-planned, hestily-prepared documents. In view of the urgancy and the necessity for going through Projects and Programs Group channels, fer questions could be clarified and Estimates had to be used more-or-less as submitted. Thus, serious deficiencies were built into the Bureau of the Budget submission. These deficiencies caused difficulties during the Durent of the Budget bearings, caused minor inaccuracies in the VSID Report, and provided considerable difficulty both to the offices and to us in the preparation of material for the Congressional Secrings.
- c. Operational Program Prior to its ambaismion to the PRC. Normally, we receive an advance copy of the Program shortly after its preparation: In the year just past, the HD/P directed that no Program should be released to us until Hr. Minnell had made his final review. As a result, in most instances we had less than one and one-half days time in which to emalyse each Program, prepare a memorandum, and put the memorandum into the hands of the PRC. We see no reason why we should not continue to obtain advance copies even though subsequent changes might be made in some cases.

SECRET

Approved For Release 2001/08/14 : CIA-RDP80-01370R000200020026-0 CONFIDENTIAL

SUMJECT: Budget Problems Involving the Claudestine Services

Inter-Office Allocation Adjustments. In prior years, allocation adjustments resulting from transfers of projects, personnel, or functions from one office to another had been worked out by as ad hoc group which included the SSA (Comptroller), the two interested Budget and Piscal Officers, and a representative of the Budget Division. (A similar practice is still followed in the DD/I and DD/S.) Shortly after he took office. Mr. Bissell personally began to initiate most allocation adjustments. We have no objection to this procedure per se. but in many implements his precise intentions were not made clear either to we or to the affected offices. To cite two types of examples from a series of cases: Prior to the beginning of FY 1960, Mr. Binsell reduced the EE Division's FI 1960 Operating Budget without explaining in detail the reason for the redistribution of these funds. At about the same time, he transferred Project from EN to the Development Projects Division. The SE Division assured that had been withdrawn in the over-all reduction. The Badget Division assumed to the contrary and in the Agency Operating Balast dated 31 July 1979 we recommended that an assent be transferred from 22 to DPD. In a revision of allocations dated 21 August, Mr. Bissell took no comminmee of this recommendation with the result that neither EE ner RFD included this enount in the FY 1960 collem of its Office Artisate and the mount pregunably remains unfunded. In connection with transfers of personnel positions between offices, Mr. Bissell has nover expressed a coller. Where the position transfer is decumented by a the SHA Staff prepares an adjustment action for Mr. Missell's signifure - a conference procedure at best. In other instances, the SSA (Comptroller) Staff may not be aware of the personnel action, and we are not clear as to what action, if any, we should take in these instances. This makes for continued uncertainty on the affected offices as to how to progress funds and, in one or two instances, has resulted in an inscenrate picture in Agency budget munications.

25X1A

25X1A2d1

25X1A2d1

- is belowingships with the Durgon of the Below Senerally; Releases;
 - Agency Contracts with the Euremi of the Raiget. There has been a long-stending Agency policy that contacts with the Euremi of the Endget will be made only by this Office, the ED/S, or the DCI. Enting the past year, the DD/P personally and, so we believe, other mambers of the Clandestiae Services have bristed representatives of the Eureman on pending questions at informal meetings at which representatives of this Office were not present. We have not been given copies of memorands for the record, if any. Since DD/P plans de change from day to day, we find ourselves during subsequent hearings and discussions in danger of providing information which, on its face, may be contradictory to that provided separately by the DD/P.

Approved For Release 2001/08/14:4CIA-RDP80-01370R000200020026-0

SUBJECT: Budget Problems Involving the Classication Services

Any views expressed by a senior officer of the Agency may be construed by Europa of the Endget officials to be the official views of the Agency. To smoid any appearance of confusion, uncertainty, or conflict within the Agency, we believe it essential that a representative of this Office be present at all discussions, formal or informal, with the representatives of the Europa of the Budget. If we are not present, we must be advised of precisely what has been discussed and of what agreements have been reached.

b. Releases from the Reserve. During the rest year, the ID/? has developed the practice of obtaining the approval of the Director for a release from the Reserve prior to any coordination with the office of the Comptroller and without a presentation to the Director of the budgetery implications. Once the suproval of the Director has been obtained, we, of course, are relucted to reopen the laute. This, the Agency has been put in the position of requesting releases which never should have been requested either because of the nature of the release or because we could not properly certify that we had no funds evallable for the particular activity. We consider some of the release requests to have been quite improper and bad we been consulted is advance, we would have recommended strongly against them. In one instance, a release was requested at the very time that the DD/P had more than twice the requested amount enmarked as an unconstitted reserve. In another instance, the advice of the division budget officer, to the effect that division funds were available, was rejested. In still other instances, we are every that the edvice of division budget officers was not requested prior to the submission of the request for DCI approval. Thus, the policy meson to have developed of funding all new items of any significance from the Heserve rather them only those which could not be funded by internal savings. The hereon has become increasingly critical of our use and of the size of the Reservo. The continuation of such practices could seriously and adversaly affect the present policies concerning the use of the Beservo.

c. Bearings. During special briefings conducted in October, the Clandestine Services was represented only by Division Chiefs or Reputy Chiefs. With one or two exceptions, these briefings were well done. However, during the subsequent budget bearings and during bearings in connection with releases, the divisions often have been represented by "the second tome." At times, these individuals were not well-prepared or gave testimosy which did not support the budget figures. In other instances, the impression was given that clear objectives or firm plane were lacking or that CIA did not have adequate control of the situation.

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2001/08/04 RDP80-01370R000200020026-0

BURNECT: Budget Problems Involving the Clandestine Services

Bince the persons appearing at hearings, in connection with the budget and in connection with releases, are seldow known to us more them a few hours or even a few minutes before the hearings commune, we cannot brief all persons in advance. We believe that the DD/P must essist us in stressing the importance of being prepared and of supporting the official Agency position.

hearings are consucted by the Budget Division in connection with estimates submitted by the DD/I and DD/S offices. This is consistent with the practice in other agencies. In recent years, no hearings have been held in connection with the estimates submitted by the offices of the Claudestine Services. However, experience during this period has indicated a meet for a resumption of these hearings. This need was expressed to the PEC in the Spring of 1950 at which time the PEC agreed to the holding of hearings in the Claudestine Services effective with the FY 1960/FY 1961 operating budget. Unfortunately, the various actions of the DD/P, referred to previously, and negotiations with the Bartess of the Budget in connection with personnel and foller ceilings made hearings inserviseble, if not impossible.

As a result of situations which subsequently occurred both intermally and externally due to our failure to hold bearings, we believe more firmly then ever that bearings must be held on the next Claudestine Services budget submission. Internally, incorrect interpretations and misunderstandings resulting from the absonce of a sound professional budget review, including bearings, can be corrected and allowances can be adjusted as the year progresses. Externally, the problem is a good deal more serious. The Barwan of the Badget tends to base the depth of its review upon its perception or opinion of the quality of the internal review or control. Where the internal review is considered adequate, the Bureau of the Budget review is directed to programs. Where the internal review is considered inadequate, the review and hearings are conducted to considerably more detail) and questions tend to be directed more to the "how" and "how much"; (questions which are difficult to enswer in a secure number), rather than to the "why" and "what do you expect to accomplish", (questions which are more easily answered.) During the hearings of last autumn, country and mission schedules were requested, some project budgets were exemined, and questions were asked in considerable depth. As a result some of the estimates submitted to us by the Clandestine Services eracked under the strain. Since then the Bureau, by words and actions, clearly has indicated that it questions the estimates of the Clandestine Services. An example of this line of questioning is the Durenu's almost unperalleled setion to require post-appropriation justification of carnarked for PF activities. Unless we can convince them of the integrity of our estimates, in future years still more information may be required and even larger emounts may be subjected to Bureau control.

2\$X1A1a

Approved For Release 2001/08/14 A-RDP80-01370R000200020026-0

SUBJECT: Budget Problems Involving the Clandestine Services

Accordingly, if the DD/P is to maintain maximum security and maximum freedom of action, it is essential that internal budget bearings be held and weak spots corrected prior to the Bureau of the Budget bearings.

6. Special Problems Affecting the Development Projects Division.
With no other office have we had a comparable number of problems during the past year. For several years, the DFD was a comparatively small, independent unit which could be accorded special treatment. At the present time, it obligates one-quarter of the Agency's budget, must be treated as an integral part of the DD/P and, since the assignment of the Air Operations to it, has activities which intervence with those of the area divisions.

In part this change is status has been recognized by personnel in the Development Projects Division, but they do not feel they can make changes from past procedures without the personal approval of Mr. Bissell. To date, the opportunity to discuss these matters in detail with Mr. Bissell has not presented itself and as a result the budgetary information received from DFD has not met our minimum needs.

We therefore believe it essential that there be a meeting between representatives of this Office, the DPD, and Mr. Bissell in order to obtain a better definition of the amount of socurity required to protect the various DPD operations, and to determine the degree to which DPD can provide standard budgetary data.

- 7. Conclusion: We believe there is little to be gained by a detailed discussion of past errors and misunderstandings, but we would welcome an opportunity to participate with you and General Cabell in a mosting with Mr. Nissell to discuss approaches to the solution of the general problems which have been identified. At the meeting we would like to achieve a mutual understanding on the following points:
 - a. The degree of coordination to be achieved between DD/P progress and Comptroller/FMI accounting processes on the one hand, and DD/P progress and the Agency's budget system on the other hand. Ideally, we would like to have Mr. Bissell appoint an individual or a committee who could work with us in developing procedures agreeable to the DD/P; and who in turn could implement within the BD/P those agreed—to procedures requiring DD/P approval or action.
 - b. Comptroller responsibilities for monitoring project or other PRC-approved limitations.
 - e. Linious with the Eurosu of the Budget and procedures in consection with releases.

Approved For Release 2001.08/14 : CIA-RDP80-01370R000200020026-0

Budget Problems Involving the Claudestine Services

possibilities is consection with the edjustment of office

Palities and procedures in connection with internal budget

Intertary relationships with the Development Projects Division.

25X1A

BD/COMP/CNM/RLW/odb (2 Merc 1960) Distribution:

Orig. & 2 - Addressee

1 - Analysis Branch #1

2 - Budget Division

CONFIDENTIAL